# Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	Γ
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	JΙΑ

SIMON AND SIMON, PC, et al., Plaintiffs,

v.

ALIGN TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-03754-VC (TSH)

### **DISCOVERY ORDER**

Re: Dkt. No. 184

The parties have filed a joint discovery letter brief at ECF No. 184 in which Plaintiffs challenge Align's claim of privilege concerning 16 documents and raise broader questions about Align's privilege log generally. As to the 16 documents:

- 1. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00001865. Align has withdrawn the claim of privilege. At the hearing the parties can discuss Align's claim that this document is not responsive.
- 2. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00002619. The Court sustains Align's privilege claim over the redacted material.
  - 3. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00003172. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.
- 4. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00004559. Align does not discuss this document. Align does discuss -4599, but this appears to be more than just a typo because Align says that -4599 is a draft contract, but -4559 is a 26-page email thread and not a draft contract. The Court orders Align to file a brief statement concerning why this document is privileged by November 29, 2022 at 3:00 p.m.
  - 5. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00005265. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.
  - 6. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00005332. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.
  - 7. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00005440. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.

8. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00005478. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.		
Although the issue is close, the Court finds that the inclusion of Align's outside consultant,		
Shannon Henderson from the consulting firm Ethos Communications, does not destroy the		
privilege because she was the functional equivalent of a corporate employee. See United States v.		
Graf, 610 F.3d 1148, 1158-59 (9th Cir. 2010) (recognizing the functional equivalence doctrine		
under Ninth Circuit law); e.g., In re Copper Market Antitrust Litig., 200 F.R.D. 213, 219		
(S.D.N.Y. 2001) ("RLM was, essentially, incorporated into Sumitomo's staff to perform a		
corporate function that was necessary in the context of the government investigation, actual and		
anticipated private litigation, and heavy press scrutiny obtaining at the time. Sumitomo retained		
RLM to deal with public relations problems following the exposure of the copper trading scandal.		
RLM's public relations duties included preparing statements for public release and internal		
documents designed to inform Sumitomo employees about what could and could not be said about		
the scandal."). Align's evidentiary showing (the HR department landing page for Henderson and		
her contract with Align) would not by themselves compel a finding of functional equivalence,		
although they support it. However, the privileged emails themselves show that Henderson had		
been essentially incorporated into Align's staff.		

- 9. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00005581. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.
- 10. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00005583. The document Align submitted in camera is a onepage document that consists solely of Shirley Stacy's email signature. The court orders Align to submit the correct document to tshpo@cand.uscourts.gov by November 29, 2022 at 3:00 p.m.
  - 11. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00005629. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.
  - 12. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00006133. The Court sustains Align's claim of privilege.
- 13. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00006504. The Court sustains Align's privilege claim over the redacted material.
- 14. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00007616. The Court sustains Align's privilege claim over the redacted material.
- 15. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00007956. The document Align submitted for in camera review bears no resemblance to the description of it in Align's section of ECF No. 184. The Court orders

## Case 3:20-cv-03754-VC Document 209 Filed 11/28/22 Page 3 of 3

United States District Court

Align to either submit a corrected document to	tshpo@cand.uscourts.gov or to file a corrected
"reason for privilege" by November 29, 2022 a	at 3:00 p.m.

16. ALIGNPURCHPRIV00009620. The Court cannot evaluate Align's privilege claim without reviewing the attachment. The Court orders Align to submit the attachment to <a href="mailto:tshpo@cand.uscourts.gov">tshpo@cand.uscourts.gov</a> by November 29, 2022 at 3:00 p.m.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 28, 2022

# THOMAS S. HIXSON United States Magistrate Judge